

On the Construction of a Jacobi Matrix from Mixed Given Data

Harry Hochstadt

Department of Mathematics

Polytechnic Institute of New York

333 Jay Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201

Dedicated to Alston S. Householder
on the occasion of his seventy-fifth birthday.

Submitted by Hans Schneider

ABSTRACT

It is shown that a $n \times n$ Jacobi matrix is uniquely determined by its n eigenvalues and by the selected set of $n - 1$ entries in the matrix.

Let J denote a Jacobi matrix—that is, a tridiagonal matrix of the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & a_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{n-1} & a_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where all a_i , b_i are real and all b_i positive. Let J_τ denote the principal submatrix of J obtained by deleting either the first row and first column of J or alternatively the last row and last column of J . It is well known [1] that a knowledge of the spectra of J and J_τ uniquely determines J . If J is persymmetric, however, a knowledge of only the spectrum of J uniquely determines J .

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let J be a Jacobi matrix as in (1), with all a_i , b_i real and all b_i positive. Suppose we are given its n distinct real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$.*

as well as the $n-1$ entries $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{[n/2]}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{[n-1/2]}$, (where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x). Then these data determine a unique Jacobi matrix.

N.B. The theorem does not assert the existence of such a matrix, but the uniqueness, if it exists.

Proof. The proof will be modeled on that given in [1]. We let

$$B(\lambda) = \prod_1^n (\lambda - \lambda_i), \quad A(\lambda) = \prod_1^{n-1} (\lambda - \mu_i), \quad (2)$$

where the μ_i are the eigenvalues of J_r , obtained by deleting the first row and first column of J . As was shown in [1],

$$\frac{A(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} = ((\lambda - J)^{-1} \delta_0, \delta_0), \quad (3)$$

where $\delta_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. This is a simple consequence of Cramer's rule. By decomposing the left side of (3) into partial fractions and then into a geometric series in $1/\lambda$ we find

$$\frac{A(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k^j \alpha_k}{\lambda_k^{j+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(J^j \delta_0, \delta_0)}{\lambda^{j+1}}. \quad (4)$$

By comparing terms in (4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k &= 1, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \alpha_k &= (J \delta_0, \delta_0) = a_1, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \alpha_k &= (J^2 \delta_0, \delta_0) = a_1^2 + b_1^2, \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^j \alpha_k &= (J^j \delta_0, \delta_0), \\ &\vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{n-1} \alpha_k &= (J^{n-1} \delta_0, \delta_0). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The above linear system of n equations in the n unknowns $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ must have a solution, since its determinant is the Vandermonde determinant, which does not vanish by virtue of the distinctness of the λ_k . The right sides are also known by the hypotheses of the theorem. Clearly $(J'\delta_0, \delta_0)$ depends only on $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{[(j+1)/2]}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{[j/2]}$, so that the α_k are uniquely determined from given data.

Now we can return to (5) and determine $A(\lambda)$ or equivalently the μ_k . By the result of [1] a knowledge of the λ_k and μ_k determines a unique J . ■

A comparable result can be proved for inverse Sturm-Liouville operators. There the potential $q(x)$ is given over half the interval, and one spectrum is given. Together these determine a unique $q(x)$ on the full interval. For details we refer to [2].

REFERENCES

- 1 H. Hochstadt, On the construction of a Jacobi matrix from spectral data, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* 8:435–446 (1974).
- 2 H. Hochstadt and B. Lieberman, An inverse Sturm-Liouville problem with mixed given data, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 34:676–680 (1978).

Received 15 January 1979